FURTHER SUBMISSION FORM:
PROPOSED WAIKATO REGIONAL COASTAL PLAN

Waikato REGIONAL COUNCIL
Te Kaunihera ā Rohe o Waikato

Important: Save this PDF to your computer before answering. If you edit the original form from this webpage, your changes will not save. Please check or update your software to allow for editing. We recommend Acrobat Reader.

We must receive your further submission by 5.00pm, Monday 29 April 2024

PLEASE CHECK that you have provided all of the information requested. If you are having trouble filling out this form, phone Waikato Regional Council on 0800 800 401 for help.

MANDATORY INFORMATION

Name of submitter (individual or organisation): Seafood New Zealand, NZ Rock Lobster Industry Council , Paua Industry Council

Contact person (if applicable): Jeremy Helson

Agent (if applicable):

Email address for service: jeremy.helson@seafood.org.nz

Postal address: PO Box 297, Wellington 6140

Phone number(s): +64 21 272 8727

ELIGIBILITY TO MAKE A FURTHER SUBMISSION (select appropriate)

- ○ I/we represent a relevant aspect of the public interest; or
- ◉ I/we have an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public; or
- ○ I/we represent the Waikato Regional Council

Please specify the grounds for your selection:

Seafood New Zealand represents the interests of the commercial fishing industry, a party directly affected by the submissions. The NZ F

APPEARANCE AT A HEARING

- ◉ I wish to be heard in support of my further submission; or,
- ○ I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission; or
- ○ If others make a similar further submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

SIGNATURE

Signature: _____ Date: 29/4/24

MAILING DETAILS AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Emailed to: **submissions@waikatoregion.govt.nz** (Submissions received by email must contain full contact details)

Mailed to: Chief Executive, 160 Ward Street, Private Bag 3038, Waikato Mail Centre, Hamilton 3240

Delivered to: Waikato Regional Council, 160 Ward Street, Hamilton

Note to person making further submission: A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority. Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Personal information is used for the administration of the further submission process and will be made public. All information collected will be held by Waikato Regional Council, with submitters having the right to access and correct personal information.

FORM 6. Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Submitter	Submission point	Support or oppose	Further submission	Decision sought
Submitter 4 **Slipper Island Residents Association**	4.01	Oppose	The submission point is not relevant to the RMA - it relates to high protection areas to be established under the Hauraki Gulf / Tikapa Moana Marine Protection Bill, and to fisheries management issues such as recreational daily bag limits which are more appropriately addressed under the Fisheries Act 1996.	Disallow
Submitter 6 **Ngāti Tara Tokanui Iwi**	6.26	Oppose	The submitter provides no information or analysis to justify the requested complete ban on trawling and other (unspecified) fishing methods. The Fisheries Act 1996 is a more appropriate mechanism to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of fishing.	Disallow
	6.27	Oppose	The submission point (i.e., seasonal fishing closures) is not relevant to the RMA - it is a potential fisheries management response that is more appropriately addressed under the Fisheries Act 1996.	Disallow
Submitter 11 **Stet Ltd**	11.01 11.02 11.03	Oppose	The submitter provides no information or analysis to justify the requested prohibitions on fishing. The submission points are unnecessary as the impacts of fishing on the marine environment are more appropriately managed under the Fisheries Act 1996. Marine biodiversity is protected through a range of existing and proposed measures including a network of MPAs under the Hauraki Gulf / Tikapa Moana Marine Protection Bill.	Disallow
	11.06	Oppose	The environmental impacts of bottom impact fishing methods are more appropriately managed under the Fisheries Act. Contrary to the submission, no other regional coastal plans specify fishing as a "notifiable activity" or require resource consents for fishing.	Disallow
	11.07 11.08	Oppose	The submission points are unnecessary as the impacts of fishing on the marine environment are more appropriately managed under the Fisheries Act 1996. Marine biodiversity	Disallow

			is protected through a range of existing and proposed measures including a network of MPAs under the Hauraki Gulf / Tikapa Moana Marine Protection Bill.	
Submitter 31 **Waikato Conservation Board**	31.01	Oppose	The submitter provides no information to justify the requested prohibitions on fishing. The submission point is unnecessary as the impacts of fishing on the marine environment are more appropriately managed under the Fisheries Act 1996. Marine biodiversity is protected through a range of existing and proposed measures including a network of MPAs under the Hauraki Gulf / Tikapa Moana Marine Protection Bill. The submitter requests the council to use tools that are clearly within the realm of the Fisheries Act, such as mātaitai reserves. The RMA cannot be used to allocate access to fisheries resources between fishing sectors (see Fisheries Act s.6).	Disallow
Submitter 36 **New Zealand Sport Fishing Council**	36.03	Oppose	The submitter provides no information to justify the requested prohibitions on fishing. The submission point is unnecessary as the impacts of fishing on the marine environment are more appropriately managed under the Fisheries Act 1996. Marine biodiversity is protected through a range of existing and proposed measures including a network of MPAs under the Hauraki Gulf / Tikapa Moana Marine Protection Bill.	Disallow
	36.04	Oppose	The submitter requests the blanket prohibition of a fishing method (purse seining). Fishing method prohibitions are clearly within the scope of the Fisheries Act, not the RMA.	Disallow
Submitter 48 **Stuart Cross**	48.01 48.02	Oppose	The submitter provides no information to justify the requested prohibition on commercial fishing. The RMA cannot be used to allocate access to fisheries resources between fishing sectors (see Fisheries Act s.6).	Disallow
Submitter 49 **Karlene Bakalich**	49.01	Oppose	The submitter provides no information to justify the requested prohibition on commercial fishing. The RMA	Disallow

			cannot be used to allocate access to fisheries resources between fishing sectors (see Fisheries Act s.6).	
Submitter 84 **June Bennett**	84.01 84.06	Oppose	The submitter provides no information to justify the requested prohibitions on bottom trawling, seining and dredging. The submission points are unnecessary as the impacts of fishing on the marine environment are more appropriately managed under the Fisheries Act 1996. Marine biodiversity is protected through a range of existing and proposed measures including a network of MPAs under the Hauraki Gulf / Tikapa Moana Marine Protection Bill.	Disallow
Submitter 95 **Environmental Defence Society**	95.16 95.19	Oppose	The submitter provides no information to justify the requested regulation of fishing methods and activities. The submission points are unnecessary as the impacts of fishing on the marine environment are more appropriately managed under the Fisheries Act 1996. Marine biodiversity is protected through a range of existing and proposed measures including a network of MPAs under the Hauraki Gulf / Tikapa Moana Marine Protection Bill.	Disallow
Submitter 99 **Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society**	99.003	Oppose	The submitter provides no information to justify the requested ban on bottom trawling and set netting in the Hauraki Gulf. The submission points are unnecessary as the impacts of fishing on the marine environment are more appropriately managed under the Fisheries Act 1996. Marine biodiversity is protected through a range of existing and proposed measures including a network of MPAs under the Hauraki Gulf / Tikapa Moana Marine Protection Bill.	Disallow
	99.149	Oppose	The submitter provides no information to justify the requested controls on fishing in specified areas. The submission points are unnecessary as the impacts of fishing on the marine environment are more appropriately managed under the Fisheries Act 1996. Marine biodiversity is protected through a range of existing and proposed measures including a network of MPAs under the Hauraki Gulf / Tikapa Moana Marine Protection Bill.	Disallow

Submitter 108 **Proforma Group A**	108.01	Oppose outcome statement 3: *I want no bottom trawling, seining or dredging allowed in the waters managed by the Waikato Regional Council*	The submitters provide no information or analysis to justify the requested prohibition on fishing methods. The submission point is unnecessary as the impacts of fishing on the marine environment are more appropriately managed under the Fisheries Act 1996. The submitters' outcome statement 3 directly contradicts other requested outcomes in their submissions, including outcome statement 8 *I do not support creating no-take areas under the Waikato Regional Coastal Plan* and outcome statement 9 *I prefer fishing controls to be managed under the Fisheries Act.*	Disallow